

Projects library of the specialized group for construction

PUBLIC BUILDINGS

Domain	Education		
Project name	Earthquake resistant school models for the PARIS		
	(Programme d'Appui à la	Reconstruction des Infrastructures Scolaires)	
Country	Haiti		
Region/town	Varia		
Area	Urban, rural, remote		
GIS data (WGS 84)	-		
Project type	Design of school models		
Typology	Primary school		
Approach	-		
Beneficiaries	-		
Climate	Hot, humid		
Special constraint	Earthquake / hurricane		
start / end of project	2011-2012		
Country GNP	820 USD/cap		

Partners

Organization (donor) IO/NGO partners GO partners SDC, UNICEF, IADB, Spanish Cooperation, World Bank

artners MoE, MPW (Public Works)

Context to project Initial Situation The massive earthquake of 12 January 2010 damaged or destroyed nearly the 80% of the schooling infrastructure in the affected departments, highlighting dramatically the poor construction quality in the country, the absence of norms and standards for public building and the incapacity of the authority to regulate the system. As a result, the MoE, froze, for more than 2 years, all permits for new permanent school construction mainly because they were not in position to guide and control the reconstruction process up to adequate standards. In the meantime, the MoE and the Ministry of Public Works, issued revised architectural and structural norms which set new and ambitious standards, especially for the seismic resistance, based on seismic maps taking into account an event with a return period of 2'500 years, meaning for Haiti a max. PGA of 8.4G. In order to meet these structural standards, a complete rethink of the usual construction technique was necessary, especially for 2 storey buildings largely needed in the urban and peri-urban affected areas. Goals, Beneficiaries Simplify, shorten and make less expensive the design phase of a school project by providing the sector with well documented school designs, adapted to the local context and integrating adequate architectural and structural norms and standards. Implementations/Results By may 2013, 3 different school models have been validated by the MoE and MoPW and are progressively integrated and used by various relevant actors (such as IADB, Worl bank, Spanish Cooperation) as well as by some Swiss NGO (HECKS).



Construction data				
Model	Concrete	Confined Masonry	Wooden Frame	
		And the second second second		
Materials				
Foundations	Concrete	Rubble stones	Rubble stones	
Walls or columns	Concrete panels	Cement blocks	Wooden frame	
Facade	Wood or metal (filling)	Cement blocks	Earth / rubble filling	
Roof	Concrete	Insulated corr. sheet	Insulated corr. sheet	
Earthquake protection	PGA 0.84G	PGA 0.84G	PGA 0.84G	
Wind resistance	Over 150 km/h	Up to 150 km/h	Up to 150 km/h	
Doors	Metal grids (or other)	Metal grids (or other)	Wood (or other)	
Windows	Metal grids (or other)	Metal grids (or other)	Wood (or other)	
Ceiling	Concrete	-	-	
Reference data				
Number of unit (cl.)	6	3	1	
Surface per unit	50 m2	50 m2	50 m2	
Children / unit	40	40	40	
Total beneficiary	240	120	40	
Ground floor (incl. walls)	168 m2	235 m2	90 m2	
Number of storey	2	1	1	
Total floor (incl. walls)	465 m2	235 m2	90 m2	
Surface / beneficiary	1.9 m2	1.9 m2	2.25 m2	
Volume (outside dim.)	1300 m2	720 m3	220 m3	
Volume / beneficiary	5.5 m3	6 m3	5.5 m2	
Costs (indicative)				
Base	Haiti, 2013	Haiti, 2013	Haiti, 2013	
	Accessible area	Accessible area	Remote area	
Cost of the block	255'000 USD	100'000 USD	20'000 USD (estimate)	
Cost / beneficiary	1'000 USD	830 USD	400 USD	
Cost / unit (classroom)	42'500 USD	33'000 USD	20'000 USD	
Self help beneficiary	No	No	Partly	
Evaluation	Concrete	Confined Masonry	Wooden Frame	
Main advantages	Density / compact	Well known technique	Cost	
Main advantages	Durability (+ 60 years)	Light construction	Light construction	
	Ration quality/cost	Room for tolerance	Economy of heavy	
	Post-disaster shelter use	Adapted to all areas	material	
	Comfort	Comfort		
Main disadvantages	Reserved to mid- to high level contractors	Combination of material Wide range of artisan needed	Limited durability Material resistance to climate and termite	
Main conditions	Good accessibility to site	Good materials	Beneficiary participation	
	Good contractors	Good size of plot	Training to technique	
	Good equipment	Normal supervision	Regular maintenance	
	Good supervision		Good supervision	



Approach to resultsInitial Situation-Approach-Problems/Constraints-Lessons learned-Evaluation-

For further information

Involved SHA construction group collaborators:	Christian Ubertini, Jan Reifler, Sebastian Villiger, Oliver Ruefenacht, Guillaume Roux-Fouillet, Alexandre Wagnières
Other involved SHA consultants	-
Author / Contact:	Christian Ubertini / <u>cubertini@bluewin.ch</u>
Recommended Institutions:	-
Recommended partners:	-
Recommended books/reports:	Daniel Schwitter, SKAT, aug-sept. 2012 Marina Marinov, FG Bau, 10 july 2012. Werk, Bauen + Wohnen, November 2012 Christian Ubertini, "PARIS, Bilan fin de phase 1", may 2013. Christian Ubertini, "Des plans-types pour la construction d'écoles sûres, durables et confortables ", Observatoire de la reconstruction, revue no 8, 2013
Relevant other projects (links):	http://picasaweb.google.com/ddchaiti



HAITI : Earthquake resistant school models for the PARIS (Example of documentation)











Ague 5 Special facultation of the system of a fact of the production is distances. Types 7 Special facultation of solate in 4.13, per 1 * is producting in distances in the system of t

4. Actions sismiques selon SIA 261 et ASCE 7-05

Pour comparer deux normes pour calculer le séisme, il n'est pas possible de comparer directement les valeurs de l'accélération maximale du sol PGA (a_{qd}). Les résultats dépendent de plusieurs facteurs considérés différemment dans les normes. Par conséquent, il faut comparer les résultats des spectres de dimensionnement selon les différentes normes: dans les paragraphes ci-dessous, les normes [SIA 261] et (ASCE 7-05] sont appliquées pour calculer les spectres de dimensionnement pour les écoles en béton armé élaborées par le GTIS.

Grenzwerte Querkräfte: [kN/m], Äquidistanz: 1 [kN/m], Referenzlinie: 60 Spezifikation: TragsicherheitNutzlast

